

## WAVE AND PSEUDO-DIFFUSION EQUATIONS FROM SQUEEZED STATES

Jamil Daboul

*Physics Department, Ben Gurion University of the Negev,  
Beer Sheva, ISRAEL. Bitnet:DABOUL@BGUVMS*

### Abstract

We show that the probability distributions  $P_n(q, p; y) := |\langle n|p, q; y \rangle|^2$ , which are obtained from squeezed states, obey an interesting partial differential equation, to which we give two intuitive interpretations: as a wave equation in one space dimension and also as a pseudo-diffusion equation. We also study the corresponding Wehrl entropies  $S_n(y)$ , and show that they have minima at zero squeezing,  $y = 0$ .

### 1 Introduction

This talk is based mainly on a work which was done in collaboration with Salomon Mizrahi from Brazil.

Squeezed oscillator states are defined in terms of the bosonic creation and annihilation operators,  $a^\dagger := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ , and  $a := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ , as follows:

$$|z; \xi\rangle = |p, q; \xi\rangle := \mathcal{D}(q, p)\mathcal{S}(\xi)|0\rangle, \quad \text{where } z := (q + ip)/\sqrt{2}, \quad (1)$$

and  $|0\rangle$  is the ground state of the harmonic oscillator. Both  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are unitary operators.  $\mathcal{D}$  creates the coherent state, and is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(q, p) := \exp[z a^\dagger - z^* a] = \exp[ipx - q \frac{\partial}{\partial x}], \quad (2)$$

and  $\mathcal{S}(\xi)$  is the **squeezing operator**:

$$\mathcal{S}(\xi) := \exp[\frac{1}{2}(\xi a^{\dagger 2} - \xi^* a^2)], \quad (3)$$

where  $\xi$  is a complex variable. For  $\xi = 0$ , we recover the ordinary (unsqueezed) coherent states. The squeezed states satisfy the completeness relation,  $\int |p, q; \xi\rangle \langle p, q; \xi| \frac{dp dq}{2\pi} = 1$ , for every  $\xi$ . Therefore,

$$\int P_n(q, p; \xi) \frac{dp dq}{2\pi} = 1, \quad \text{where } P_n(q, p; \xi) := |\langle p, q; \xi | n \rangle|^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $|n\rangle$  is the number state. If we interpret the real parameters  $q$  and  $p$  as the position and momentum variables, then (4) allows us to interpret the non-negative functions  $P_n$  as probability distributions in the  $(q, p)$ -phase plane, for every  $n$  and  $\xi$ .

In this talk, I shall consider these  $P_n$  for real values of the squeezing parameter  $\xi$ , which will be denoted by  $y$ . In particular, I shall show that the  $P_n(q, p; y)$  satisfy the interesting partial differential equations (9) and (12), to which two intuitive interpretations can be given. Finally, I shall show that the Wehrl entropy  $S_n(y)$  (14) of the  $P_n$  must have their minima at zero squeezing,  $y = 0$ .

## 2 Explicit Form of the Distributions $P_n$

The distribution  $P_n(q, p; \xi) := |\langle n|p, q; \xi \rangle|^2$  gives the probability of finding  $n$  bosons (photons) in the squeezed states  $|q, y; \xi\rangle$ . It is a physically important quantity, and it has been calculated by different methods. The dependence of  $P_n(q, p; \xi)$  on  $n$  was studied by Schleich and Wheeler [2]. For  $\xi = y$ , the  $P_n$  is given by the following complicated expression [1,3,7]:

$$P_n(q, p; y) := |\langle p, q; y | n \rangle|^2 = \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{2^n n! (\gamma + 1)} |\tilde{H}_n(2, \eta; w)|^2 \exp \left[ -\frac{q^2 + \gamma p^2}{1 + \gamma} \right], \quad n \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\gamma := e^{2y}, \quad \eta := \frac{1 - \gamma}{1 + \gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad w := \frac{q + i\gamma p}{\gamma + 1}, \quad (6)$$

and where  $\tilde{H}_n(2, \eta; w)$  are the generalized Hermite polynomials ( $\mathcal{GHP}$ ), which are defined in terms of the raising operators  $R(\alpha, \beta; x) = \alpha x - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ , as follows [1]:

$$\tilde{H}_n(\alpha, \beta; x) = R^n(\alpha, \beta; x) \cdot 1 = \sum_{s=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{n!}{(n - 2s)! s!} \left( -\frac{\alpha \beta}{2} \right)^s (\alpha x)^{n-2s}. \quad (7)$$

These polynomials are equal to the standard Hermite polynomials for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ . In the limit,  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , these  $\tilde{H}_n(x)$  becomes simple powers of  $x$ :  $\tilde{H}_n(\alpha, 0, x) = \alpha^n x^n$ . Therefore, in the limit of zero squeezing,  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ , we have  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , so that the above  $\mathcal{GHP}$ 's become simple powers of  $w$ . Thus, for  $y \rightarrow 0$ , equation (5) gives the following well-known Poisson distribution of the unsqueezed coherent states:

$$P_n(q, p; 0) = \frac{\rho^{2n}}{2^n n!} \exp \left[ -\frac{\rho^2}{2} \right], \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho^2 := q^2 + p^2, \quad (8)$$

When discussing probability distributions, it is useful to think of the regions that are surrounded by the **equipotential curves**,  $P_n(q, p; y) = \text{const.}$ ; I shall call these regions **potential regions**. Thus, the potential regions of the above Poisson distribution  $P_n(q, p; 0)$  are concentric circles in the  $(q, p)$ -plane. But for  $y \neq 0$ , these regions will have approximately elliptical shapes, whose the major axes lie along the  $p$ -axis for  $y < 0$  and along the  $q$ -axis for  $y > 0$ . These regions become more elongated in one direction and narrower in the other, as  $|y|$  increases.

## 3 The Partial Differential Equation for the $P_n$

Since the integral (4) of the distributions  $P_n(q, p; y)$  over the whole  $(q, p)$ -space remains constant under squeezing, it is useful to think of the change of  $P_n(q, p; y)$  as functions of  $y$  as a

**redistribution** of probability densities in phase space, which maintains the positivity condition  $P_n(q, p; y) \geq 0$  for all  $y$ . This redistribution of the  $P_n(q, p; y)$  is governed by the following interesting and amazingly simple partial differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} P_n(q, p; y(\gamma)) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \right) P_n(q, p; y(\gamma)), \quad \text{where } \gamma := e^{2y}. \quad (9)$$

This equation was originally obtained [1] by straightforward but lengthy differentiation of the expression (5), and by using the following property of the  $\mathcal{GHP}$  [1]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \tilde{H}_n(\alpha, \eta, w) = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2} \tilde{H}_n(\alpha, \eta, w). \quad (10)$$

However, we can now derive it by two other more general methods [5], as reported in the summary section.

## 4 Interpretation as Wave and Pseudo-Diffusion Equations

I shall now present two possible intuitive interpretations of the above differential equation:

(I) **D'Alembert or Wave Equation:** The following is a new interpretation, which was not discussed in [1]: For a *fixed squeezing parameter*  $y$ , equation (9) looks like the wave equation for one space dimension  $q$ , if we think of the  $p$  variable in (9) as the time variable  $t$ :

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \Phi(q, t; y) = -4\pi\rho(q, t; y), \quad \text{where } \rho(q, t; y) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} P_n(q, t; y(\gamma)), \quad (11)$$

In this interpretation, the parameter  $\gamma$  would then play the role of the speed of light  $c(n)$  in matter, which depends on the parameter  $y$ , similar to the dependence of  $c(n)$  on the index of refraction index  $n$ . If the  $P_n$  are thought of as electromagnetic potentials  $\Phi(q, t; y)$ , then  $4\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} P_n(q, p; y(\gamma))$  will play the role of a time-dependent charge distributions  $-4\pi\rho(q, t; y)$ .

(II) **Pseudo-Diffusion Equation:** By substituting  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 2e^{2y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}$  into (9), we obtain a more symmetric differential equation for the  $P_n$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} P_n(q, p; y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{2y} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} - e^{-2y} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \right) P_n(q, p; y). \quad (12)$$

This equation is also new and permits a more pertinent intuitive understanding of the redistribution process of the  $P_n$ , by comparing (12) with the diffusion equation in two dimensions [6]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} T(q, p; t) = \sigma \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \right) T(q, p; t), \quad (13)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the diffusion coefficient. Equations (12) and (13) are similar, if we interpret the squeezing parameter  $y$  as the time variable. However, the two equations differ in two interesting aspects:

- (1) The sign in front of  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}$  in (12) is negative rather than positive. Such a “negative diffusion coefficient” leads to “infusion” rather than diffusion in the  $p$ -direction. Consequently, as  $y$  increases, the equi-probability curves,  $P_n(q, p; y) = \text{const.}$ , move towards the origin along the  $p$ -axis, but away from the origin along the  $q$ -axis. Therefore, we expect the probability regions to be concentric elongated “quasi ellipses” which are extended along the  $p$ -axis for  $y \rightarrow -\infty$ . They become more and more circular as  $y$  approaches zero, and then stretch outwards along the  $q$ -axis, as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . For the above reasons, we shall call equations (9) and (12) “pseudo diffusion equation”.
- (2) The “diffusion coefficients”  $\exp[2y]/2$  and  $-\exp[-2y]/2$  and in front of  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}$  in (12) depend on  $y$ . For  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , the term  $\frac{1}{2}e^{2y}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2}P_n$  dominates the r.h.s. of (12), whereas for  $y \rightarrow -\infty$ , the second term dominates. This dependence on  $y$  can be given an interesting intuitive explanation: Let us consider the redistribution process when  $y$  is very large: In this case the probability densities  $P_n(q, p; y)$  are extended in the  $q$ -direction and tightly squeezed or compressed in the  $p$ -axis, which makes it difficult to compress them further along the  $p$ -axis. For this reason the “infusion coefficient” becomes so small, namely  $\propto \exp[-2y]$ . In contrast, the diffusion along the  $q$ -axis must become faster and faster, in order to diffuse all the incoming density flux from the other orthogonal  $p$ -direction, which is entering the cigar-shaped potential regions through their lengthy boundaries.

## 5 The Wehrl Entropy for the $P_n$

A useful measure for the information content of the probability distributions  $P_n(q, p; y)$  is the Gibbs or Wehrl entropy [7], which is defined by

$$S_n(y) := - \int P_n(q, p; y) \ln P_n(q, p; y) \frac{dp dq}{2\pi}. \quad (14)$$

Because of the symmetry  $P_n(q, p; -y) = P_n(p, q; y)$ , the entropy (14) is even in  $y$ :  $S_n(-y) = S_n(y)$ . Therefore, at  $y = 0$  each  $S_n(y)$  must have either a maximum or a minimum. We shall now argue that  $S_n(0)$  should correspond to a minimum: We assume that  $S_n(y)$  does not oscillate as a function of  $y$ . Therefore, it is enough to argue that  $S_n(y)$  grows with  $|y|$  for large values of  $|y|$ . For large positive  $y$ , equation (12) behaves essentially like a one-dimensional diffusion equation in the  $q$ -variable. But it is well-known that the solutions of diffusion equations lead to entropies which increase with time [6]. Therefore, the  $S_n(y)$  must increase as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . But since the  $S_n(y)$  are even in  $y$ , they must also grow as  $y \rightarrow -\infty$ . Hence, the  $S_n(0)$  must lie at the bottom of the curves  $S(y)$  vs.  $y$ .

Finally, we note that the von Neumann entropy  $S_{vN}(\rho) := -\text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho)$  for the pure states  $\rho := |n\rangle\langle n|$  must vanish. In contrast, explicit calculations of the Wehrl entropies of the Poisson

distributions (8) shows that  $S_n(0) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , in accordance with a conjecture by Wehrl [7], which was proved by Lieb [8].

To summarize this section: in contrast to diffusion equations, where the entropies of their solutions always increase with time, the entropies  $S_n(y)$  for the solutions of the above pseudo-diffusion equation first decrease monotonically as  $y$  grows from  $-\infty$  to zero, but then increase monotonically as  $y$  grows from zero to  $+\infty$ .

## 6 Summary and Outlook

Two equivalent partial differential equations (9) and (12) were presented and then interpreted, as wave and as pseudo-diffusion equations. The probability densities  $P_n(q, p; y)$  (5) provide infinite number of their solutions.

By the time of writing the present lecture notes, we succeeded in proving, by two general methods, that the expectation values  $\langle q, p; \xi | O | q, p; \xi \rangle$  of an arbitrary operator  $O$ , satisfy a generalized version of the above partial differential equations, which also include rotations, i.e for the general squeezing  $\xi = r e^{i\phi}$ . Interesting examples of  $O$  are the number operators  $N$  and  $N^2$ ; their expectation values provide the simplest solutions of (9) and (12). Also the projection operator  $|q, p; \xi\rangle\langle q, p; \xi|$ , and consequently its Wigner function, satisfy these equations.

## References

- [1] S. S. Mizrahi and J. Daboul, "Squeezed States, Generalized Hermite Polynomials And Pseudo-Diffusion Equation", to be published in Physica A.
- [2] W. Schleich and J. A. Wheeler, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **4**, 1715 (1987).
- [3] D. Stoler, Phys. Rev. **D 1**, 3217 (1971).
- [4] D. V. Widder, *The Heat Equation*, (Academic Press, London, 1975).
- [5] J. Daboul and S. S. Mizrahi, "Partial Differential Equations For The Projection Operator Of Squeezed States", in preparation.
- [6] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, (McGraw Hill, 1954), p. 173.
- [7] A. Wehrl, Rep. Mod. Phys. **16**, 353 (1979).
- [8] E. H. Lieb, Comm. Math. Phys. **62**, 35 (1978).

